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#### Abstract

The typical 3-RRR planar parallel manipulator with two translations and one rotation has extensive applications such as plane location and motion transfer. But it suffers two disadvantages. One is its analytical direct kinematics is difficult to be got and another is not input-output motion decoupled. This paper focuses on its topological structure optimization and resulting kinematic performance improvementt. First, the coupling degree of this manipulator is calculated being $\mathrm{k}=1$. Second, based on structure coupling-reducing principle, its coupling-reduced manipulator with zero coupling degree is designed, which not only leads to be easy to get its analytic direct kinematic solutions, but also makes input-output motion partially decoupled. Moreover, based on workspace and singularity of this coupling-reduced manipulator, comprehensive comparison of two manipulators before and after coupling-reducing showed that the main performances of structure coupling-reduced manipulator are superior than that of the typical mechanism. The work shows that structure coupling-reducing is effective method for optimization of topology structure.
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## Introduction.

3-RRR planar parallel manipulator has potential value in practical application. It not only can be used in guiding, location and transmission of rigid body, but also can obtain more accurate motion trajectory than general multi-bar linkages do[1].

At present, many scholars have had much more investigation for 3-RRR planar parallel manipulator. In the aspect of the direct kinematics, the number of the maximum direct kinematics of 3 - $\underline{R} R$ R manipulator is 6 , which is proved by [2]. Oetomo et.al [3] set up three constraint equations and then got one eighth degree polynomial to solution by using the elimination method.

In the way of mechanism's performance investigation, Gosselin[4] conducted the optimization parameter design of 3-RRR manipulator. Wu et.al [5] made comparisons on the peculiarities of statics and dynamics between 4-RRR, 3-RRR and 2-RRR. Taking prismatic pair as actuated one, Cha et.al [6] measured the range of 3-RRR manipulator's nonsingular paths. Wei et.al [7] analyzed 8 kinds topology structure of $3-\underline{R} R R$ manipulator, and analyzed this manipulator dexterity by taking conditioning performance of Jacobian matrix as index. The reachable workspace of the symmetric 3$\underline{R} R R$ parallel manipulator was analyzed by Li et.al [8]. The Dexterous workspace of 3-RRR manipulator was obtained in $[9,10]$. Gao et. al [11] systematically analyzed the relationship between branched chains' length and the workspace shape of 3-RRR manipulator.

Obviously, current studies on 3-RRR manipulator s focus primarily on workspace, singularity, dexterity and stiffness performance. However, accuracy analysis and design of the manipulator are difficult and motion control is comparatively complex, the reasons of which are that the analytical
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solutions for this manipulator are not easy to get its direct kinematics, and further the manipulator does not possess input-output ( $I-O$ ) motion decoupling.

Taking the two reasons stated above as target, this paper design firstly a novel kind of couplingreduced mechanism(CRM) with low coupling degree, i.e., $k=0$, and motion-decoupling based on the structure coupling-reducing methodology. Not only are analytical solutions for direct kinematics obtained but also this manipulator has I-O decoupling, which accordingly leads to the precision design, motion planning and control of this manipulator be easy. Moreover, the workspace and singularity are analyzed. The work shows that the comprehensive performance of CRM is better than the typical manipulator $3-\underline{R} R R$. Therefore typical $3-\underline{R} R R$ planar parallel manipulator could be replaced by the CRM.

## 3-RRR PM and Its Topological Optimization Design

Typical 3-RRR planar manipulator is shown in Fig. 1. The digitals, from 1 to 7, are denoted as different rods. The moving platform 1 , an equilateral triangle, connects with the static platform 0 through three RRR branch chains. The static coordinate system $o-x y$ and the moving coordinate system $o^{\prime}-x^{\prime} y$ ' are established on the static platform 0 , moving platform 1 respectively.


Figure 1. 3-ㅈRRR planar parallel mechanism
Length for each link of three branch chains are given as follows, respectively.
$R_{11} R_{12}=l_{1}, R_{12} R_{13}=l_{2}, R_{21} R_{22}=l_{7}$,
$R_{22} R_{23}=l_{6}, R_{31} R_{32}=l_{5}, R_{32} R_{33}=l_{4}$.
The side length of moving platform 1 is $l_{3}$, its attitude angle $\gamma$ is anticlockwise direction of x axis to $x^{\prime}$, The input angle of three actuated pairs, $R_{11}, R_{21}, R_{31}$, is $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ respectively, as shown in the Fig.1.
A. Coupling Degree ( $\kappa$ ) of 3-RRR Manipulator

According to the structure composition theory of parallel mechanisms based on the ordered single-open-chain (SOC) [12], this mechanism can be decomposed into following two SOCs. The restraint degree $(\Delta)$ of each SOC is listed as follows, respectively.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S O C_{1}\left\{-R_{11}-R_{12}-R_{13}-R_{33}-R_{32}-R_{31}-\right\} \\
\Delta_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} f_{i}-I_{1}-\xi_{L_{1}}=6-2-3=1 \\
S O C_{2}\left\{-R_{21}-R_{22}-R_{23}-\right\} \\
\Delta_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}-I_{2}-\xi_{L_{2}}=3-1-3=-1
\end{gathered}
$$

$k$ of the manipulator is calculated by

$$
k=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left|\Delta_{j}\right|=\frac{1}{2}(|1|+|-1|)=1
$$

Here,
$I_{\mathrm{j}}$-- the number of inputs in the $j^{\text {th }} S O C_{j}$,
$f_{\mathrm{i}}-$ - DOF of the $i^{\text {th }}$ kinematic pairs,
$\xi_{L_{j}}$-the number of independent equations of $j^{\text {th }}$,
$\Delta_{\mathrm{j}}$-- constraint degree of $j^{\text {th }} S O C_{j}$.
Since the coupling degree of this manipulator is $k=1$, its numerical solutions of direct kinematics could be obtained by solving a one -variable polynomial equation. That is, one virtual variable is needed to be assigned to $\mathrm{SOC}_{1}$ so that direct kinematic equation containing the variable can be established easily. Then one-dimensional search method is utilized easily to obtain its numerical direct solutions for this manipulator. The calculation is complicated and time-consuming, which is not benefit for real-time controlling. It does not good for the accuracy design of this manipulator as well.

At the same time, since every output parameter $(x, y, \gamma)$ of moving platform 1 is related to all of three input angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$, the manipulator does not possess I-O motion decoupling, which is also undesirable for path planning and motion controlling.
B. Topological Optimization Design of the Structure

In order to improve the two disadvantages stated above, we implement an optimization design for topological structure of this manipulator. Based on the coupling-reducing principle of mechanism topology [13], we combine two arbitrary pairs on the movable platform, such as $\mathrm{R}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{33}$ in the Fig. 1, into one multiple joint, and other conditions are not changed, which lead to a modified manipulator shown in the Fig. 2.


Figure 2. 3-dof coupling-reducing mechanism (CRM)
For the modified manipulator, moving platform 1 has degenerated from three-joint rod to twojoint rod, i.e., $R_{3} R_{23}$. Its topological analysis can be decomposed into following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{SOC}_{1}\left\{-R_{11}-R_{12}-R_{3}-R_{32}-R_{31}-\right\} \\
\Delta_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} f_{i}-I_{1}-\xi_{L_{1}}=5-2-3=0 \\
\operatorname{SOC}_{2}\left\{-R_{21}-R_{22}-R_{23}-R_{3}-\right\} \\
\Delta_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}-I_{2}-\xi_{L_{2}}=4-1-3=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $k=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left|\Delta_{j}\right|=\frac{1}{2}(|0|+|0|)=0$

## Kinematic Analysis of CRM

## A. Direct Kinematics

The problem of the direct kinematics can be described as: with three known input angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$, it is required to solve the attitude angle $\gamma$ and position $(x, y)$ of revolute joint $R_{3}$ of the moving platform 1.

The static coordinate system $o-x y$ is shown in Fig. 2, which is the same with it in Fig. 1. The moving coordinate system $R_{3}-x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ are established on $R_{3}, y^{\prime}$ axis that coincides with the line $R_{3} R_{23}$. $x^{\prime}$ axis is perpendicular to this line. The attitude angle $\gamma$ of the moving platform 1 is taken from forward direction of $x$ ' axis to $x$ as well. The coordinates $R_{11}, R_{21}, R_{31}$ are not changed such that $(0,0),\left(l_{9}, l_{10}\right)$, $\left(l_{8}, 0\right)$, respectively. When input angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ are given, the coordinates of joints $R_{12}, R_{22}$, and $R_{32}$ are easily got.

- $\quad$ Solve the coordinates of $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ by using the positions of $\mathrm{R}_{12}, \mathrm{R}_{32}$

Based on $R_{12} R_{3}=l_{2}, R_{32} R_{3}=l_{4}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{R_{3}}-x_{R_{12}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{3}}-y_{R_{12}}\right)^{2}=l_{2}^{2} \\
\left(x_{R_{3}}-x_{R_{32}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{3}}-y_{R_{32}}\right)^{2}=l_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obtained

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{R_{3}}=\frac{-E \pm \sqrt{E^{2}-4 D F}}{2 D}  \tag{1}\\
y_{R_{3}}=\frac{C}{B}-\frac{A}{B} x_{R_{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2\left(l_{1} \cos \theta_{1}-l_{8}-l_{5} \cos \theta_{3}\right), \\
B=2\left(l_{1} \sin \theta_{1}-l_{5} \sin \theta_{3}\right), \\
C=l_{4}^{2}-l_{2}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}-l_{8}^{2}-l_{5}^{2}-2 l_{5} l_{8} \cos \theta_{3}, \\
D=A^{2}+B^{2}, \\
E=2 l_{1} A B \sin \theta_{1}-2 l_{1} B^{2} \cos \theta_{1}-2 A C, \\
F=C^{2}+l_{1}^{2} B^{2}-2 l_{1} B C \sin \theta_{1}-l_{2}^{2} B^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

- Solve the coordinate of $\mathrm{R}_{23}$ by using the positions of $\mathrm{R}_{22}, \mathrm{R}_{3}$

Based on $R_{23} R_{3}=l_{3}, R_{22} R_{23}=l_{6}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(x_{R_{3}}-x_{R_{23}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{3}}-y_{R_{23}}\right)^{2}=l_{3}^{2} \\
\left(x_{R_{23}}-x_{R_{22}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{23}}-y_{R_{22}}\right)^{2}=l_{6}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{R_{23}}=\frac{-e \pm \sqrt{e^{2}-4 d f}}{2 d}  \tag{2}\\
y_{R_{23}}=\frac{c}{b}-\frac{a}{b} x_{R_{23}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=2\left(x_{R_{3}}-l_{9}-l_{7} \cos \theta_{2}\right), \\
b=2\left(y_{R_{3}}-l_{10}-l_{7} \sin \theta_{2}\right), \\
c=l_{6}^{2}-l_{3}^{2}+x_{R_{3}}^{2}+y_{R_{3}}^{2}-l_{9}^{2}-l_{7}^{2}-l_{10}^{2} \\
-2 l_{10} l_{7} \sin \theta_{2}-2 l_{9} l_{7} \cos \theta_{2}, \\
d=a^{2}+b^{2}, \\
e=2 y_{R_{3}} a b-2 x_{R_{3}} b^{2}-2 a c, \\
f=c^{2}+\left(x_{R_{3}}^{2}+y_{R_{3}}^{2}-l_{3}^{2}\right) b^{2}-2 y_{R_{3}} b c
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, attitude angle $\gamma$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \gamma=\left(y_{R_{23}}-y_{R_{3}}\right) /\left(x_{R_{23}}-x_{R_{3}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eq.(1), the position of the moving platform 1, i.e., (xR3, yR3), is confirmed by two input angles $\theta 1, \theta 3$. It is also known from Eq. (3) that attitude angle $\gamma$ is confirmed by three input angles $\theta 1, \theta 2$, and $\theta 3$. Therefore, the CRM possess I-O partial motion decoupling property. Consequently, it is easier to conduct path planning and motion control of the CRM compared with the typical manipulator.

## B. Inverse Kinematics

The problem of the inverse kinematics analysis is described as for given attitude angle $\gamma$ and position ( $x, y$ ) of joint $R_{3}$ of moving platform 1, it is required to solve three input angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$.

In the moving coordinate system $R_{3}-x x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$, the coordinate of $R_{23}^{\prime}$ is $\left(0, l_{3}\right)$. Through the coordinate system conversion between the static and moving coordinate one, the coordinate of $R_{3}$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{R_{23}} \\
y_{R_{23}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\
\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
l_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-l_{3} \sin \gamma+x \\
l_{3} \cos \gamma+y
\end{array}\right]
$$

Based on $R_{12} R_{3}=l_{2}, R_{32} R_{3}=l_{4}, R_{22} R_{23}=l_{6}$, three constraint equations can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{R_{12}}-x_{R_{3}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{12}}-y_{R_{3}}\right)^{2}=l_{2}^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \left(x_{R_{22}}-x_{R_{23}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{22}}-y_{R_{23}}\right)^{2}=l_{6}^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& \left(x_{R_{32}}-x_{R_{3}}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{R_{32}}-y_{R_{3}}\right)^{2}=l_{4}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Eqs.(4)~(6), the inverse kinematic for the CRM can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=2 \arctan \frac{A_{i} \pm \sqrt{A_{i}^{2}+B_{i}^{2}-C_{i}^{2}}}{B_{i}-C_{i}}, i=1,2,3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=2 y_{R_{3}} l_{1} ; \quad B_{1}=2 x_{R_{3}} l_{1}, \\
C_{1}=l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}-x_{R_{3}}^{2}-y_{R_{3}}^{2}, \\
A_{2}=2 y_{R_{23}} l_{7}-2 l_{10} l_{7}, \\
B_{2}=2 x_{R_{23}} l_{7}-2 l_{9} l_{7}, \\
C_{2}=l_{6}^{2}+2 x_{R_{23}} l_{9}+2 y_{R_{23}} l_{10}-l_{7}^{2}-l_{9}^{2}-l_{10}^{2}-x_{R_{23}}^{2}-y_{R_{23}}^{2}, \\
A_{3}=2 y_{R_{3}} l_{5} ; \quad B_{3}=2 x_{R_{3}} l_{5}-2 l_{8} l_{5},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
C_{3}=l_{4}^{2}+2 x_{R_{3}} l_{8}-l_{5}^{2}-l_{8}^{2}-x_{R_{3}}^{2}-y_{R_{3}}^{2} .
$$

## C. Numerical Examples

As shown in Fig. 2, the structural parameters, based on Ref.[4], of the CRM are shown as follows.

$$
l_{1}=l_{5}=l_{7}=400, l_{8}=600, l_{2}=l_{3}=l_{4}=l_{6}=300, \quad l_{9}=1054.1, l_{10}=1045.4 \text { (units: } \mathrm{mm} \text { ). }
$$

Three input angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$ are $60^{\circ}, 240^{\circ}, 70^{\circ}$, respectively. The substitution of the known parameters into Eqs.(1)~(3) gives two sets direct kinematics solutions shown in Tab 1.

Tab 1. Direct kinematics of the CRM

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $\gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | 61.1 | 94.1 | $104.8544^{\circ}$ |
|  | 4 | 4 | - |
| I | 61.1 | 94.1 | $20.0847^{\circ}$ |

It is easy to verify the correctness of these direct kinematics solutions by using the inverse kinematic Eq. (7). It is omitted for the limited space.

## D. Workspace Analysis

- Reachable workspace

Reachable workspace is reachable area of a moving platform. It is one of main performance indexes to evaluate the kinematic performances of manipulator [8].

The CRM is derived from 3-RRR manipulator by combining two revolute joints $R_{13}$ and $R_{33}$, and length of other links does not change at all. According to the structural parameters of [10], lengths of the CRM are as follows.

$$
l_{1}=l_{5}=l_{7}=200, l_{2}=l_{4}=l_{6}=200, l_{3}=100 \sqrt{3}, \quad l_{8}=300, l_{9}=150, l_{10}=150 \sqrt{3} \text { (units: } \mathrm{mm} \text { ). }
$$

Through programming computation on MATLAB, the reachable workspace acreage of 3-RRR typical manipulator is $3.5868 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, and its shape is shown in Fig. 3 (a).The reachable workspace area of the CRM is $2.6095 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, and its shape is shown in Fig. 3 (b).


Figure 3. Reachable Workspace comparison between the CRM and typical mechanism
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It is easy from the Fig. 3 to find that the area of the CRM is $27.25 \%$ less than typical manipulator. However, reachable workspace of the CRM can be improved and became larger by means of increasing some link lengths. For instance, when length of the links 2,3,4,5,6, and 7 are increased to one-sixth of the length of link 1 , i.e., $l_{3} / 6$, the area of the CRM will be $3.7948 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, for which the incremental of about $5.52 \%$ is made more than that of the typical manipulator. Moreover, the shape has symmetry and succession as well, as shown in Fig.3(c).

- Dexterous workspace

If the attitude angle can change arbitrarily in the range of $0^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$ when the moving platform moves, the motion area of base point is called as dexterous workspace $[9,10]$.

For the $3-\underline{R} R R$ typical manipulator shown in Fig.1, the center of the moving platform 1 is taken as the base point $O^{\prime}$. If the base point $O^{\prime}$ in the range of dexterous workspace, the moving platform 1 can rotate completely around this base point.

We assume that the moving platform 1 is connected with the frame at the point $O^{\prime}$ using the revolute joint $R_{O}$, and only one constraint chain $i$, for example, $i=1$, is considered, one fictitious and subsidiary four-bar linkage $R_{i 1} R_{i 2} R_{i 3} R_{O}$, is obtained. The length $L$ of the frame will change along with the position change of the base point $O^{\prime}$. But the crank $R_{i 3} R_{O}$ ' can move completely around the joint
$R_{O}$. The structural parameters of this subsidiary four-bar linkage are given as follows.

$$
R_{i 1} R_{i 2}=L_{1}, R_{i 2} R_{i 3}=L_{2}, R_{i 3} R_{O^{\prime}}=L_{3}, R_{i 1} R_{O}^{\prime}=L
$$

Based on the crank existence conditions of the four-bar linkage, the range of length $L$ can be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L=\left(0, r_{1}\right] \cup\left[r_{2}, r_{3}\right]\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
r_{1}=L_{3}-\left(L_{1}-L_{2}\right), r_{2}=\left(L_{1}-L_{2}\right)+L_{3}, r_{3}=\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)-L_{3} .
$$



Figure 4. Dexterous workspace in the constraint of branded chain $i$
If taking $R_{i 1}$ as center of a circle and $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ as radius, three circles can be drawn respectively. Then, the base point $O^{\prime}$ locates inside the circle area of between radius $r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ or the circle with a radius of $r_{1}$, i.e., the shadow area shown in Fig.4, which is denoted by $I_{i}$.

When we consider the combined action of three chains $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$, dexterous workspace $W$ is the intersection workspace that chains $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ produce together.

For the CRM and its chain 1 and 3, we assign $r_{1}=r_{2}=0, r_{3}=400$, and we assign $r_{1}=r_{2}=0, r_{3}=300$ for the chain 2.

For 3-RRR manipulator and its three chains, we assign $r_{1}=r_{2}=0, r_{3}=300$. Therefore, the dexterous workspaces of the CRM and 3-RRR manipulator are calculated and shown in Fig. 5(a) and Fig.5(b) respectively.

We find that the dexterous workspace area of the CRM and typical mechanism are $8.6567 \times 10^{6}$ $\mathrm{mm}^{2}, 6.3429 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, respectively, and the former is $36.48 \%$ bigger than the later
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(a) Dexterous workspace of the CRM

(b) Dexterous workspace of the 3- $\underline{R R R}$ typical manipulator

Figure 5. Dexterous workspace comparison between the CRM and typical manipulator
E. Singularity Analysis

- Singularity analysis method

If vectors representing all input motions and output motions are denoted by $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ respectively, the relationship between $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ can be expressed as following [14].

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y})=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By simplifying and rearranging equation (9) , then taking the time derivative of the two sides of the resulting equation, the following equation is obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{J}_{p} \dot{\boldsymbol{Y}}-\boldsymbol{J}_{q} \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on whether $\boldsymbol{J}_{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}_{q}$ matrix are singular, the singular posture of the mechanism could be classified three types as follows
(1)When $\operatorname{det}\left(J_{q}\right)=0$, input singularity happens.
(2) When $\operatorname{det}\left(J_{p}\right)=0$, output singularity happens.
(3) When $\operatorname{det}\left(J_{q}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(J_{p}\right)=0$, hybrid singularity happens.

- Calculate of $\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{q}$ matrix

By taking the time derivative of the two sides of Eqs. (4)~(6), the following equation is obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i i} \dot{\theta}_{1}-f_{i 1} \dot{x}-f_{i 2} \dot{y}-f_{i 3} \dot{\gamma}=0, i=1,2,3 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $V=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\dot{x} & \dot{y} & \dot{\gamma}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ is the output speed of the end effector of the mechanism, while $\omega=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\dot{\theta}_{1} & \dot{\theta}_{2} & \dot{\theta}_{3}\end{array}\right]^{T}$ is actuated joint input angle velocity. The relationship between $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p} V=J_{q} \omega \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{J}_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\
f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\
f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33}
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \boldsymbol{J}_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{11} & & \\
& u_{22} & \\
& & u_{33}
\end{array}\right] ; \\
u_{11}=l_{1}\left(y_{R_{12}}-y_{R_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{1}-l_{1}\left(x_{R_{12}}-x_{R_{3}}\right) \sin \theta_{1}, \\
u_{22}=l_{7}\left(y_{R_{22}}-y_{R_{23}}\right) \cos \theta_{2}-l_{1}\left(x_{R_{22}}-x_{R_{23}}\right) \sin \theta_{2}, \\
u_{33}=l_{5}\left(y_{R_{32}}-y_{R_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{3}-l_{5}\left(x_{R_{32}}-x_{R_{3}}\right) \sin \theta_{3}, \\
f_{11}=x_{R_{12}}-x_{R_{3}}, f_{12}=y_{R_{12}}-y_{R_{3}}, f_{13}=0, \\
f_{21}=x_{R_{22}}-x_{R_{23}}, f_{22}=y_{R_{22}}-y_{R_{23}}, \\
f_{23}=l_{3}\left(x_{R_{23}}-x_{R_{22}}\right) \cos \gamma+l_{3}\left(y_{R_{23}}-y_{R_{22}}\right) \sin \gamma, \\
f_{31}=x_{R_{32}}-x_{R_{3}}, f_{32}=y_{R_{32}}-y_{R_{3}}, f_{33}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

- Singularity comparison between the CRM and 3-RRR typical mechanism
(1) Input singularity

When the input singularity happens, the movable platform 1 of this mechanism will lose its motion ability along some directions. This moment, at least one motion chain reaches at the boundary of workspace, and we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{q}\right)=0
$$

The solution set $\boldsymbol{A}$ of this equation is shown below

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left\{A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$A_{1}=\left\{\left(y_{R_{12}}-y_{R_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{1}-\left(x_{R_{12}}-x_{R_{3}}\right) \sin \theta_{1}=0\right\}$, which means that three points $\mathrm{R}_{11}, \mathrm{R}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear.
$\boldsymbol{A}_{2}=\left\{\left(y_{R_{22}}-y_{R_{23}}\right) \cos \theta_{2}-\left(x_{R_{22}}-x_{R_{23}}\right) \sin \theta_{2}=0\right\}$, which means that three points $R_{23}, \mathrm{R}_{22}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{21}$ are collinear.
$\boldsymbol{A}_{3}=\left\{\left(y_{R_{32}}-y_{R_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{3}-\left(x_{R_{32}}-x_{R_{3}}\right) \sin \theta_{3}=0\right\}$, which means that three points $\mathrm{R}_{31}, \mathrm{R}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear.

When three points $R_{11}, R_{12}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear, link 2 and link 5 have combined into one line 2-5. Then, an imaginary four-bar linkage is denoted by link $0,2-5,6$ and $3, \theta_{3}=f\left(\theta_{1}\right)$, and $\theta_{2}$ is also independent input angle. The path of joint $R_{3}$ on the moving platform 1 is the part arc with a radius of line 2-5. The length of this arc is determined by the two chains 2 and 3 .

However, for the 3-RRR typical manipulator when three points $R_{11}, R_{12}$ and $R_{13}$ are collinear, three input angles are independent each other. Moreover, the motion of moving platform 1 is not restrictive when the joint $R_{13}$ is fixed (Fig.1). The base point $O^{\prime}$ locates inside the circular ring that is determined by the circular radius $l_{2-5}+R_{13} O^{\prime}$ and $l_{2-5}-R_{13} O^{\prime}$, the upper and lower boundary of this part

# Ke XU, Huiping SHEN, Jiaming DENG, Yunyu SHEN <br> Topological structure optimization and kinematic performance improvement of 3-RRR planar parallel manipulator 

annulus are determined by the motion limitation of other two branded chains.
Because of the symmetry, singularity analysis for the case $\boldsymbol{A}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{3}$ is similar with that of the above stated.
(2) Output singularity

Under this circumstance, the movable platform 1 still has local motion when all actuated joints are locked. If the movable platform 1 is applied by a limited force, three input links need infinite actuated force to achieve force balance. By this time, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{p}\right)=0$, the solution of the set $\boldsymbol{B}$ for this equation is shown below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{B}=\left\{\boldsymbol{B}_{1} \cup \boldsymbol{B}_{2}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here,
$\boldsymbol{B}_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{R_{33}}-x_{R_{22}}\right) \cos \gamma+\left(y_{R_{23}}-y_{R_{22}}\right) \sin \gamma=0\right\}$, which means that three points $\mathrm{R}_{22}, \mathrm{R}_{23}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear
$\boldsymbol{B}_{2}=\left\{f_{12} f_{31}-f_{11} f_{32}=0\right\}$, which means that three points $\mathrm{R}_{12}, \mathrm{R}_{32}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear.
Three kinds of the output singular configurations of the CRM are shown in Eq.(14). When the formula $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}$ is satisfied three points $\mathrm{R}_{22}, \mathrm{R}_{23}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear. When taking $\theta_{1}, \theta_{3}$ as the independent input angles, the angle $\theta_{2}$, i.e., $\theta_{2}=f\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{3}\right)$, is a dependent input.

However, for 3-RRR typical mechanism, it exists four kinds of singular configurations as follows
(1) Four joints $R_{12}, R_{13}, R_{33}$ and $R_{32}$ are collinear.
(2) Four points $R_{12}, R_{13}, R_{23}$ and $R_{22}$ are collinear.
(3) Four points $R_{22}, R_{23}, R_{33}$ and $R_{32}$ are collinear.
(4) Links 5, 6 and 7 intersect at one point outside the moving platform.

For example, when the first kind of singularity of 3 -RRR mechanism happens, i.e., case (1), input $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ are taken as the independent ones, the angle $\theta_{3}$ is dependent input such as $\theta_{3}=f\left(\theta_{1}\right)$.
(3) Synthesis singularity

When $\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{q}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{p}\right)=0$ is satisfied, the input and output singularity will happen at the same time. For instance, if the equations $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}$ are satisfied, three points $\mathrm{R}_{11}, \mathrm{R}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$, and another three points $\mathrm{R}_{22}, \mathrm{R}_{23}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ are collinear respectively.

It is clear that from the discussion above, the hybrid singularity analysis of the CRM is simpler than $3-\underline{R} R R$ typical manipulator. This conclusion is obtained respectively by a comprehensive comparison of the input and output singularity of the two mechanisms.

## Performance Comparison

In a word, the performance comparison of these two mechanisms is shown in Tab 2.

Table 2. Performance comparison of the CRM and 3- $\underline{R} R R$ typical manipulator

| Performance | CRM | 3-RRR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | 0 | 1 |
| Direct kinematics | Analytic | Numerical |
| $I-O$ decoupling | Yes | No |
| Reachable workspace | Slightly smaller* | Bigger |
| Dexterous workspace | Big | Small |
| Singularity | Simple | Complex |

*Note: The size of reachable workspace of CRM could be improved or increased by magnified slightly the length of some links.

By comparing the six aspects such as direct kinematics, coupling degree, decoupling, reachable workspace, dexterous workspace and singularity, it is found that the comprehensive performance of the CRM is superior to that of 3-RRR typical manipulator.

## Conclusions

Two disadvantages of the typical 3-RRR planar manipulator could be overcome by its topological structure optimization. It leads to the resulting kinematic performance are improved.
(1) The analytical solutions for the direct kinematics of the CRM can be obtained because of $k=0$. Its path planning, position control, and input-output motion decoupling properties are simpler.
(2) Based on the inverse kinematics, it can be obtained that the reachable workspace of the CRM is symmetric and continuous. Moreover, the dexterous workspace of it is bigger than typical mechanism's.
(3) Three kinds of singular configurations of this CRM are easier to be got. The singularity analysis of this mechanism is simpler than typical mechanism's.

In summary, the comprehensive kinematic performance of the coupling-reduced mechanism is superior. Structural decoupling-reducing is an effective approach for improving topological structure and its kinematic performances.
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